clerket by AT 8/23/16

CETIFICATION

SDG No:

JC24816

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Site:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Matrix:

Groundwater

SUMMARY:

Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken July 22-26, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Dayton, New Jersey for 1,4-Dioxane and Naphthalene. The results were reported under SDG No.: JC24816. Results were validated using the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
JC24816-1	OSGP12-GWS	Groundwater	1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM)
JC24816-2	OSGP12-GWD	Groundwater	1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM)
JC24816-3	OSGP14-GWS	Groundwater	1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM)
JC24816-3	OSGP14-GWS	Groundwater	1,-4-dioxane (SCAN)

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

August 16, 2016

59170

Mundez

LIC #1

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

	Client Sample ID:	OSGP12-GWS
ł	Lab Sample ID:	JC24816-1

Matrix:

AQ Ground Water

Method: Project:

SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 07/26/16 Date Received: 07/28/16

Percent Solids: n/a

fael Infante Mendez.

Run #1 3M63435.D 1 08/03/16 SG 07/30/16 Run #2	OP95939A	E3M3006
---	----------	---------

1	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	900 ml	1.0 ml
Dun #2		

Run #2

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
91-20-3 123-91-1	Naphthalene 1,4-Dioxane	ND ND	0.11 0.11	0.033 0.054	ug/l ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limi	ts
4165-60-0 321-60-8 1718-51-0	Nitrobenzene-d5 2-Fluorobiphenyl Terphenyl-d14	42% 44% 37%		24-12 19-12 10-11	7%



MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

By

IJ

07/28/16

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: OSGP12-GWD Lab Sample ID: JC24816-2

File ID

3P55371.D

Matrix:

Project:

AQ - Ground Water

DF

1

Method:

SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C

Analyzed

07/30/16

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 07/26/16 Date Received: 07/28/16

Percent Solids: n/a

OP95904A

Prep Date Prep Batch Analytical Batch

E3P2531

Run #1 a Run #2

> Initial Volume Final Volume 900 ml 1.0 ml

Run #1 Run #2

CAS No. Compound Result RLMDL Units 91-20-3 Naphthalene ND 0.11 0.033ug/I 123-91-1 1,4-Dioxane 0.361 0.110.054ug/I CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run# I Run# 2 Limits 4165-60-0 Nitrobenzene-d5 52% 24-125% 321-60-8 2-Fluorobiphenyl 52% 19-127% 1718-51-0 Terphenyl-d14 43% 10-119%

(a) Precision and accuracy data unavailable for this batch due to the loss of the QC link sample during extraction.

ND = Not detected

MDL - Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

stael Infante Méndez LIC # 1888

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: OSGP14-GWS Lab Sample ID: JC24816-3

Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

Method: Project:

SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 07/22/16 Date Received: 07/28/16

Q

Percent Solids: n/a

File ID DF Analyzed By Prep Date Prep Batch Analytical Batch Run #1 a P106468.D 1 07/29/16 AD 07/28/16 OP95904A EP4706 Run #2 a 3M63335.D 1 07/29/16 SG 07/28/16 OP95904A E3M3000

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	920 ml	1.0 mI
Run #2	920 ml	1.0 ml

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Unit
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND ^b	0.11		ug/l
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	6.37	1.1		ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits	š
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	57%	72%	24-125	7%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	66%	75%	19-127	
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	68%	71%	10-119	

(a) Precision and accuracy data unavailable for this batch due to the loss of the QC link sample during extraction.

(b) Result is from Run# 2



ND = Not detected

MDL - Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound





CW	
ACCUTEGE	

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

PAGE 1 OF 1

NJ				222	S Rosse I	10, Deyes	. N1 004	100						90.	laP!	288	344	2	Saffin (Date (ampid of			
UVJ				1t.L 772-	329-0200	FAX 7	32 329 34		910				Aces						Lagran	el Jan 8		0 5	7 6 1	
Client / Reporting Information	C-10		100	Profess	t Inform	necessors a		* Q.	to the	200	TF-49		Military a	201							UC	<u>ノ C</u>	17	816
Corrotty Name		Propert Name		J Flower	FIREGRE	MESURI	7.5	270	34,53	325	12.	7577		Mil Ra	quest	ad An	Hysis	[888	TEST (CODE	sheet	-	2	Matrix Codes
Anderson Mulholland & Associates													١.		ĺ			ı		l l		1		D. H. G
Street Address		Street Pha	se ZA Release	Antogamen	id in Option	* - 2	(warne)		122 8	india E	277	*0.20	. B						1	1	1			DW - Driving Wat GW - Ground Wat
2700 Westcheeter Avenue, Suite 417													Z C07.28					į .	1	Ī			l	WW-Weer 5W-Surface Ween
Cay State	Ze	City		Shale	Сомра	independent	4114	-		opert to	M				1	1		1		1		ı		5O - 5or
Purchase NY		Humacao		PR									12				1	1		1			ĺ	SU-Elange SEU-Sedament
Fronci Consets	E-mil	Project 6		-	Chool /	Litteres							⊣ §		1		1					!		OI+OI LIQ-Other Legant
Terry Taylor	Fac 8	02I Client Puntanee			-								Naphthalene		1			l	1	1				AIR - As SOL - Other Solel
814-231-0400	7	CHIM PURSO	CHOIR JI		Calg				Silding		-	Zφ	3		1	1		ĺ		ļ				Mila - Milan
Servings) (lamers)	Phone s	Protect Menace	,		Affering								- E	1	ĺ	i				i		1	1	F8-Fint (tant
N. Rivera, R. Stuert, H. O'Redly, T. T.	Index	Terry Taylor																	1					RE-Rese Blank TE-Top Stank
		1 19772		Gellecton		I	_		Numb	of page	ernad i	la de la constante de la const	- :			1	1		f .					110-17gt gaapm
						1		\Box		7	8	_ [#]	4-Diozu		1	1		1					li	
Field ID / Point of Collection		MECHELVIS	Date	Time	Surgey!	l Heren	of and heapthings	0	§ Ş	8 10	1	ğ §	- ₹		ı	1	ĺ			Ē		Ì		
1 0SGP12 - GW5			7-26-16	1230	77	GW	2	-		+	H		X	+-	\vdash	┼	\vdash	-			-			LAB LEIE CHLY
E OSGP 12-GWD						1		₩		2	Н	+		-	-	-		<u> </u>	\square	_	1			E6
3 05GP 14-GW5			7-26-16	1015	17	GW	2	Ц		- 2	Ц	\bot	X	_	_	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>						
3 0304 14 - CM2			7-22-16	1450	11	GW	2			2	<u>l_</u> 1.		X	1	1	1								
								П	\top		т	77	1	1			\vdash		-	<u> </u>	-	\vdash	\dashv	
									╗	-	H	++	_	+	1		\vdash	-		-	\vdash		-1	
					\vdash			Н	╌	-	H	-{}-	-}	-		-		_	\vdash					
[<u> </u>			Ц.	- -		Ц.	11	<u> </u>											
									J !	\perp				1										
	!					1 7		П	TT	П		П	7-									_	\neg	
									77	77	-		1	_	1				\vdash		-	-		
						 		-	-	- -	+		+-		 —	 	_							
				-		-				-	4	-	-	_	<u> </u>								i	
	10010795	Da bet . A state								ألل														
			300000	A Comme	40.5	4.7		A 12		된원	准	1	100	422	- N		32	35	4-	تدان		98	400	
Turretturel Total (Hustons days) Std. 16 Stationan Days			ALC:	1 3 3 3 5						dom				- OF HEY	SHEET.	公 第	, F				of fractions		the same of	A North
☐ Std. 18 Business Days (by Contract :		اعتدارا أو إندمنانا	real Palls I Down	Į		Commerci						SP Cate										1.90	11	22
10 Day AUSH						PULLTI (I			1			SP Color	gery B		-				DAL/	ASE	SSME	HF_	7.	
Deg RUSH		70	100			LJ Rodge		,				Formal										a 1	hl.	i
2 Day SAIERGENCY				J		Commercial Control	et TCT				Othe							-LAI	Hel. Y	Hill	ECAT		77	
2 Day EMEAGENCY	-						-																	ł
T Day ENERGENCY Emmancy & Rash TIA data evaluate VIA Label	·						internetial Liberates	4 10 100										14						
CLANDON SERVICE CONTRA		See	uple Castody mu	of the docume	enterd he	illow stack	time sar	mples	chap	H DOM	MEDI	ors, leads	uding s	on .	dell	v.		- Is		TA PRINT	V 100	110	K len	ST STARTED
. Killy col	7-27-(c	/7. P		ed E	_		- 4	-					/			7 7287	e: p -	100	and the sale	By.	-	nack)	SHIPS OF	4431.5-4-72
Selfondated by Company	Outs Time.			-0	-			2		12	20	ESL				726	K 7-		2	_	12	7		- 1
3			S				i i	in designation In	-	g:			/			Date The	-	Ţ	-	By:	7			
Technique de la	Data Plany		recent by						وسيق	-/			Place			-	-	- 1	-		On par		motor To	
		- <u> </u>							47.3	0			Non-page			0					ď			7.00

JU24816: Chain of Custody Page 1 of 3

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

JC24816

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Analysis:

SW846-8270D

Number of Samples:

- 2

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY: Three (3) samples were analyzed for Naphthalene and 1,4-Dioxane following method SW846-8270D using the selective ion monitoring (SIM) technique; one of the sample was also analyzed for 1,4-Dioxane following method SW846-8270D in the scan mode. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015 –Revision 0. Semivolatile Data Validation. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

1. MS/MSD % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits except in the cases described in the Data Review Worksheet. No action taken, professional

judgment.

Precision and accuracy data unavailable for samples JC24816-2 and JC24816-3 due to the loss of the QC link sample during extraction. No action taken,

professional judgment.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

August 16, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC24816-1

Sample location: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Sampling date: 7/26/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Naphthalene	0.11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	0.11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC24816-2

Sample location: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Sampling date: 7/26/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Naphthalene	0.10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	0.36	ug/l	1	-	7	Yes

Sample ID: JC24816-3

Sample location: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Sampling date: 7/22/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Analyte Name	Result	Units D	ilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Naphthalene	0.10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	-	-	-	-	_	-

METHOD: 8270D (SCAN)

Analyte Name	Result	Units D	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
1,4-Dioxane	6.37	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes

	Project Number:_JC24816 Date:July_22-26,_2016
	Shipping Date:July_27,_2016
	EPA Region:2
REVIEW OF SEMIVOLATILE	ORGANIC PACKAGE
The following guidelines for evaluating volatile or validation actions. This document will assist the remake more informed decision and in better serving results were assessed according to USEPA dar following order of precedence: EPA Hazardous V 2015 –Revision 0. Semivolatile Data Validation. The Q on the data review worksheets are from the prime noted.	eviewer in using professional judgment to g the needs of the data users. The sample ta validation guidance documents in the Waste Support Section, SOP HW-35A, July IC criteria and data validation actions listed
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest	data package received has been ta summarized. The data review for SVOCs
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC24816 No. of Samples:3_SIM/1_SCAN	Sample matrix:Groundwater
Trip blank No.:	
Equipment blank No.:	
Field duplicate No.:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
X Data CompletenessX Holding TimesX GC/MS TuningX Internal Standard PerformanceX BlanksX Surrogate RecoveriesX Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X Laboratory Control SpikesX Field DuplicatesX CalibrationsX Compound IdentificationsX Compound QuantitationX Quantitation Limits
_Overall Comments:_Naphthalene_and_1,4-Dioxane_an _Sample_JC24816-3_also_analyzed_by_the_scan_meth	alyzed_by_method_SW846-8270D_(SIM);
Definition of Qualifiers:	
J- Estimated results U- Compound not detected R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated nondetect Reviewer: 4/2/2016	

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
- 3		
-		
46		
	b.	
	<u> </u>	
	- 3	
		N
		300
		-

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED/ANALYZED	рН	ACTION
		alyzed within method recomescribed in this document.	meno	ded holding time. Samples properly

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 ± 2 °C):3.2°C	
---	--

Actions

Results will be qualified based on the criteria of the following Table:

Table 1. Holding Time Actions for Semivolatile Analyses

Action				
Matrix	Preserved	Criteria	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds
:	No	≤7 days (for extraction) ≤40 days (for analysis)	Use professional judgment	
	No	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	j	Use professional judgment
Aqueous	Yes	≤ 7 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qualification	
	Yes	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	ΩJ
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R
	No	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	Use profession	onal judgment
Non-Aqueous	No	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	Use professional judgment
14011-74queous	Yes	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qua	lification
	Yes	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	1	UJ
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R

	All criteria were met _X_	
Criteria	were not met see below	

GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits

- _X__ The DFTPP performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.
- _X__ DFTPP tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.

If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted, qualified or rejected.

Notes: These requirements do not apply when samples are analyzed by the Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) technique.

All mass spectrometer conditions must be identical to those used during the sample analysis. Background subtraction actions resulting in spectral distortion are unacceptable

Notes: No data should be qualified based of DFTPP failure.

The requirement to analyze the instrument performance check solution is optional when analysis of PAHs/pentachlorophenol is to be performed by the SIM technique.

List	the	samples	affected:

Actions:

- 1. If sample are analyzed without a preceding valid instrument performance check or are analyzed 12 hours after the Instrument Performance Check, qualify all data in those samples as unusable (R).
- 2. If ion abundance criteria are not met, use professional judgment to determine to what extent the data may be utilized.
- 3. State in the Data Review Narrative, decisions to use analytical data associated with DFTPP instrument performance checks not meeting the contract requirements.
- 4. Use professional judgment to determine if associated data should be qualified based on the spectrum of the mass calibration compounds.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

INITIAL CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: Instrument ID numbers:_ Matrix/Level:	GCMS3P
Date of initial calibration: Instrument ID numbers:_ Matrix/Level:	GCMS3M
Date of initial calibration:_ Instrument ID numbers:_ Matrix/Level:	GCMSP

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial	l and init	ial calib		ts the method and gui nance criteria.	dance validation document
	6				

Note:

Actions:

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria:

Table 3. Initial Calibration Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action		
	Detect	Non-detect	
Initial Calibration not performed at specified frequency and sequence	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R	
Initial Calibration not performed at the specified concentrations	J	ΩJ	
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J+ or R	R	
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	
%RSD > Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	J	Use professional judgment	
%RSD ≤ Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

Initial_Calibration

Table 2. RRF, %RSD, and %D Acceptance Criteria in Initial Calibration and CCV for Semivolatile Analysis

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ^t	Opening Maximum %D ¹
1,4-Dioxane	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzaldehyde	0.100	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Phenol	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2-Chlorophenol	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
3-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2,2'-Oxybis-(1-chloropropane)	0.010	20.0	±25.0	±50.0
Acetophenone	0.060	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
4-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.080	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Hexachloroethane	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Nitrobenzene	0.090	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Isophorone	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2-Nitrophenol	0.060	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.050	20.0	±25.0	±50.0
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Naphthalene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Chloroaniline	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	±50.0
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.040	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
Caprolactam	0.010	40.0	± 30.0	±50.0
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	±50.0
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.090	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
1,1'-Biphenyl	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ^t	Opening Maximum %D ^t
2-Chloronaphthalene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Nitroaniline	0.060	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Dimethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.080	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
3-Nitroaniline	0.010	20.0	±25.0	±50.0
Acenaphthene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
2,4-Dinitrophenol	0.010	40.0	± 50.0	± 50.0
4-Nitrophenol	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Dibenzofuran	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.070	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Diethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Fluorene	0,200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
4-Nitroaniline	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	0.010	40.0	±30.0	± 50.0
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	0.070	20,0	±20.0	±25.0
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Hexachlorobenzene	0.050	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Atrazine	0.010	40.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Phenanthrene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Anthracene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
Carbazole	0.050	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Di-n-butylphthalate	0.500	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Pyrene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Butylbenzylphthalate	0.100	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ^t	Opening Maximum %D ¹
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Chrysene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.200	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Di-n-octylphthalate	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.010	20.0	±20.0	± 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.010	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Naphthalene	0.600	20.0	±25.0	± 25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.900	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthene	0.500	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Fluorene	0.700	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Phenanthrene	0.300	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Anthracene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Fluoranthene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Pyrene	0.500	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Chyrsene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	±30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	±30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.100	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.100	20.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	25.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.020	25.0	±40.0	± 50.0

Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	± 50.0	± 50.0	
Deuterated Monitoring Compounds					

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Closing Maximum %D
1,4-Dioxane-d ₈	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Phenol-d ₅	0.010	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Bis-(2-chloroethyl)ether-da	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2-Chlorophenol-d ₄	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Methylphenol-d ₈	0.010	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
4-Chloroaniline-d ₄	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Nitrobenzene-d ₅	0.050	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Nitrophenol-d4	0.050	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol-d;	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Dimethylphthalate-d ₆	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Acenaphthylene-d ₈	0.400	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Nitrophenol-d ₄	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Fluorene-d ₁₀	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
Anthracene-d ₁₀	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Pyrene-d ₁₀	0.300	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene-d ₁₂	0.010	20.0	= 20.0	± 50.0
Fluoranthene-d ₁₀ (SIM)	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
2-Methylnaphthalene-d ₁₀ (SIM)	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0

If a closing CCV is acting as an opening CCV, all target analytes must meet the requirements for an opening CCV.

Note: If analysis by SIM technique is requested for PAH/pentachlorophenols, calibration standards analyzed at 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL for each target compound of interest and the associated DMCs. Pentachlorophenol will require only a four point initial calibration at 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL.

All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:	07/06/16_(SIM)
Date of initial calibration verific	cation (ICV):07/06/16
Date of continuing calibration	verification (CCV):_07/23/16;_08/03/16;_08/09/16
Date of closing CCV:	
Instrument ID numbers:	GCMS3P
Matrix/Level:	Aqueous/low
Date of initial calibration:	07/14/16_(SIM)
Date of initial calibration verific	cation (ICV):07/14/16
Date of continuing calibration	verification (CCV):_07/29/16;_08/02/16;_08/03/16_
Date of closing CCV:	
Instrument ID numbers:	GCMS3M
Matrix/Level:	Aqueous/low
Date of initial calibration:	07/11/16_(Scan)
Date of initial calibration verific	cation (ICV):07/11-12/16
Date of continuing calibration	verification (CCV):_07/29/16
Date of closing CCV:	001400
Instrument ID numbers:	GCMSP
Matrix/Level:	Aqueous/low

DATE	LAB ID#		CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	SAMPLES AFFECTED
		_		

Note: Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the method and guidance document required performance criteria. No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.

Actions:

Notes: Verify that the CCV is run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period).

All DMCs must meet the RRF values given in Table 2. No qualification of the data is necessary on DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate DMCs and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with DMCs recoveries to determine the need for qualification of the data.

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria in the CCVs:

Table 4. CCV Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria for Opening CCV	Criteria for Closing CCV	Action		
Criteria ioi Opening CC v	Criteria for Clashing CCV	Detect	Non-detect	
CCV not performed at required frequency and sequence	CCV not performed at required frequency	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R	
CCV not performed at specified concentration	CCV not performed at specified concentration	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment	
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J or R	R	
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte			No qualification	
%D outside the Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D outside the Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	1	נט	
%D within the inclusive Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D within the inclusive Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Notes: The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to 10 ug/L.

The concentration of target compounds in all blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have and associated field blank.

Laboratory blanks

DATE Analyzed	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
Field/Equipment	/Trip blank			
DATE Analyzed	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_field/trip/eq	uipment_blank	s_analyzed_wit	h_this_data_package 	
Note:				

12

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Qualify samples based on the criteria summarized in Table 5:

Table 5. Blank and TCLP/SPLP LEB Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action
	Detect	Non-detect	No qualification
	< CRQL		Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		≥ CRQL	Use professional judgment
		< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
Method,	≥CRQL	≥ CRQL but < Blank Result	Report at sample results and qualify as non-detect (U) or as unusable (R)
TCLP/SPLP LEB, Field		≥ CRQL and ≥ Blank Result	Use professional judgment
	Grossly high	Detect	Report at sample results and qualify as unusable (R)
	TIC > 5.0 ug/L (water) or 0.0050 mg/L (TCLP leachate) or TIC > 170 ug/Kg (soil)	Detect	Use professional judgment

List samples qualified

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
		<u> </u>			

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES - DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs)

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries – deuterated monitoring compounds. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

Notes: Recoveries for DMCs in samples and blanks must be within the limits specified in Table 6.

The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in Table 6 may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if USEPA determines that the limits are too restrictive.

If a DMC is not added in the samples and blanks or the concentrations of DMCs in the samples and blank not the specified, use professional judgment in qualifying the data.

Table 7. DMC Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action			
Criteria	Detect	Non-detect		
%R < 10% (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit)	J-	R		
10% ≤ %R (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit) < Lower Acceptance Limit	J-	UJ		
Lower Acceptance limit ≤ %R ≤ Upper Acceptance Limit	No qualification	No qualification		
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	1+	No qualification		

Table 8. Semivolatile DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

1,4-Dioxane-da (DMC-1)	Phenol-d ₅ (DMC-2)	Bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether-d ₈ (DMC-3)		
1,4-Dioxane	Benzaldehyde	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether		
	Phenol	2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)		
		Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane		
2-Chlorophenol-d ₄ (DMC-4)	4-Methylphenol-da (DMC-5)	4-Chloroaniline-d4 (DMC-6)		
2-Chlorophenol	2-Methylphenol	4-Chloroaniline		
	3-Methylphenol	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene		
	4-Methylphenol	Dichlorobenzidine		
	2,4-Dimethylphenol			
Nitrobenzene-d ₅ (DMC-7)	2-Nitrophenol-d4 (DMC-8)	2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3(DMC-9)		
Acetophenone	Isophorone	2,4-Dichlorophenol		
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2-Nitrophenol	Hexachlorobutadiene		
Hexachloroethane		Hexachlorocyclopentadiene		
Nitrobenzene		4-Chloro-3-methylphenol		
2,6-Dinitrotoluene		2,4,6-Trichlorophenol		
2,4-Dinitrotoluene		2,4,5-Trichlorophenol		
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine		1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene		
		*Pentachlorophenol		
		2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol		
Dimethylphthalate-d4 (DMC-10)	Acenaphthylene-d ₈ (DMC-11)	4-Nitrophenol-d ₄ (DMC-12)		
Caprolactam	*Naphthalene	2-Nitroaniline		
I,I'-Biphenyl	*2-Methylnaphthalene	3-Nitroaniline		
Dimethylphthalate	2-Chloronaphthalene	2,4-Dinitrophenol		
Diethylphthalate	*Acenaphthylene	4-Nîtrophenol		
Di-n-butylphthalate	*Acenaphthene	4-Nitroaniline		
Butylbenzylphthalate				
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate				
Di-n-octylphthalate				

Fluorene-d ₁₀ (DMC-13)	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d ₂ (DMC-14)	Anthracene-d ₁₀ (DMC-15)
Dibenzofuran *l*luorene 4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	Hexachlorobenzene Atrazine *Phenanthrene
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether Carbazole		*Anthracene
Pyrene-d ₁₀ (DMC-16)	Benzo(a)pyrene-d ₁₂ (DMC-17)	
*Fluoranthene	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	
*Pyrene	*Benzo(b)fluoranthene	
*Benzo(a)anthracene	*Benzo(k)fluoranthene	
*Chrysene	*Benzo(a)pyrene	
	*Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	
	*Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
	*Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

^{*}Included in optional Target Analyte List (TAL) of PAHs and PCP only.

Table 9. Semivolatile SIM DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

Fluoranthene-d10 (DMC-1)	2-Methylnaphthalene-d10 (DMC-2)
Fluoranthene	Naphthalene
Pyrene	2-Methylnaphthalene
Benzo(a)anthracene	Acenaphthylene
Chrysene	Acenaphthene
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Fluorene
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Pentachlorophenol
Benzo(a)pyrene	Phenanthrene
Indeno(1,2,3-ed)pyrene	Anthracene
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

All criteria were met	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	_X

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

NOTES:

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region. Notify the Contract Laboratory COR if a field or trip blank was used for the MS and MSD.

For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be gualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:JC24878-2						Matrix/	Level:	Gro	undwater	
The QC reported here applies to the following samples: JC24816-1							Method	d: SW84	6 8270D	BYSIM
Compound	JC24878 ug/l	3-2 Q	Spike ug/l	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
Naphthalene 1,4-Dioxane	0.176 ND		2 2	1.08 0.880	45 44	2 2	1.06 0.576	44 29	2 42* a	23-140/36 20-160/30

(a) Analytical precision exceeds in-house control limits.

Note: MS/MSD % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits except in the cases described in this document. No action taken, professional judgment.

Precision and accuracy data unavailable for samples JC24816-2 and JC24816-3 due to the loss of the QC link sample during extraction. No action taken, professional judgment.

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were \leq LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J). If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were met __X__ Criteria were not met and/or see below ____

INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

DATE SAMPLE ID IS OUT

IS AREA ACCEPTABLE RANGE

ACTION

Internal area meets the required criteria of batch samples corresponding to this data package.

Action:

- If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than 213.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration) (see Table 10 below):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated low (J-).
 - b. Do not qualify non-detected associated compounds.
- 2. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is less than 20.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration):
 - Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated high (J+).
 - b. Qualify non-detected associated compounds as unusable (R).
- 3. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than or equal to 50.0%, and less than or equal to 213% of the area for the associated standard opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- 4. If an internal standard RT varies by more than 10.0 seconds: Examine the chromatographic profile for that sample to determine if any false positives or negatives exist. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction. Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.
- 5. If an internal standard RT varies by less than or equal to 10.0 seconds, no qualification of the data is necessary.

Note: Inform the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the internal standard performance criteria are grossly exceeded. Note in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the data resulting from unacceptable internal standard performance.

State in the Data Review Narrative if the required internal standard compounds are not added to a sample or blank or if the required internal standard compound is not analyzed at the specified concentration.

Actions:

Table 10. Internal Standard Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Ac	tion
Cinena	Detect	Non-detect
Area response < 20% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	R
20% ≤ Area response < 50% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	UJ
50% ≤ Area response ≤ 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	No qualification	No qualification
Area response > 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J-	No qualification
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL > 10.0 seconds	R	R
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL < 10.0 seconds	No qualification	No qualification

		All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
TARGET CO	MPOUND IDENTIFICATION	
Criteria:		
	• • •	counds within ±0.06 RRT units of the standard CV) or mid-point standard from the initial Yes? or No?
List compour	nds not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
spectrum fro calibration)] r a. b.	Im the associated calibration standard (op- must match according to the following criteria. All ions present in the standard mass sp- must be present in the sample spectrum. The relative intensities of these ions must sample spectra (e.g., for an ion with an the corresponding sample ion abundance lons present at greater than 10% in the standard spectrum, must be evaluated interpretation.	ectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% agree within ±20% between the standard and abundance of 50% in the standard spectrum, a must be between 30-70%). sample mass spectrum, but not present in the by a reviewer experienced in mass spectra
List compoun	nds not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
Identified o	ompounds meet the required criteria	

Action:

- 1. The application of qualitative criteria for GC/MS analysis of target compounds requires professional judgment. It is up to the reviewer's discretion to obtain additional information from the laboratory. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, qualify all such data as unusable (R).
- Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that cross-contamination has occurred.
- Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported compounds or concerns regarding target compound identifications. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, the necessity for numerous or significant changes.

TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS (TICS)

NOTE: Tentatively identified compounds should only be evaluated when requested by a party from outside of the Hazardous Waste Support Section (HWSS).

Sample ID	Compound	Sample ID	Compound
=======================================			

Action:

List TICs

- 1. Qualify all TIC results for which there is presumptive evidence of a match (e.g. greater than or equal to 85% match) as tentatively identified (NJ), with approximated concentrations. TICs labeled "unknown" are qualified as estimated (J).
- General actions related to the review of TIC results are as follows:
 - a. If it is determined that a tentative identification of a non-target compound is unacceptable, change the tentative identification to "unknown" or another appropriate identification, and qualify the result as estimated (J).
 - b. If all contractually-required peaks were not library searched and quantitated, the Region's designated representative may request these data from the laboratory.
- 3. In deciding whether a library search result for a TIC represents a reasonable identification, use professional judgment. If there is more than one possible match, report the result as "either compound X or compound Y". If there is a lack of isomer specificity, change the TIC result to a nonspecific isomer result (e.g., 1,3,5-trimethyl benzene to trimethyl benzene isomer) or to a compound class (e.g., 2-methyl, 3-ethyl benzene to a substituted aromatic compound).
- 4. The reviewer may elect to report all similar compounds as a total (e.g., all alkanes may be summarized and reported as total hydrocarbons).

- 5. Target compounds from other fractions and suspected laboratory contaminants should be marked as "non-reportable".
- 6. Other Case factors may influence TIC judgments. If a sample TIC match is poor, but other samples have a TIC with a valid library match, similar RRT, and the same ions, infer identification information from the other sample TIC results.
- 7. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported data or any concerns regarding TiC identifications.
- 8. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, failure to properly evaluate and report TICs

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

SAMPLE QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

Action:

- 1. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lower CRQL are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of higher CRQLs from the diluted sample. Samples reported with an "E" qualifier should be reported from the diluted sample.
- 2. If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.
- 3. For non-aqueous samples, if the solids is less than 10.0%, use professional judgment for both detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 10.0% and less than 30.0%, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 30.0%, detects and non-detects should not be qualified (see Table 11).
- 4. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, numerous or significant failures to accurately quantify the target compounds or to properly evaluate and adjust CRQLs.
- 5. Results between MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated "J".
- 6. Results < MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified "U". MDLs themselves should not be reported.

Table 11. Percent Solids Actions for Semivolatile Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	Ac	Action			
Criteria	Detects	Non-detects			
%Solids < 10.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment			
10.0% ≤ %Solids ≤ 30.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment			
%Solids > 30.0%	No qualification	No qualification			

SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
		74
		The state of the s
		AND THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A S
, ME. 1992		1987
-03		72500 E
4000	10	

				Crite	iteria were met na were not met or see below		
FIELD DUPLICATE	FIELD DUPLICATE PRECISION						
Sample IDs:			Mat	trix:	-	_	
Field duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples. The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information. Suggested criteria: if large RPD (> 50 %) is observed, confirm identification of the samples and note differences. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.							
COMPOUND	SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION		
No field/laboratory duplicate analyzed as part of this data package. MS/MSD % recovery RPD used to assess precision. RPD within the required guidance document criteria < 50 % for detected target analytes above 5 SQL.							

			Criteria were not met and/or see below
OTHER	R ISSUES		
A.	System Performa	ance	
List sa	mples qualified ba	sed on the degradation of system	performance during simple analysis:
Sample	e ID	Comments	Actions
Action:			
during degrad	sample analyses ation of system pe	. Inform the Contract Laborator erformance which significantly affe	mined that system performance has degraded by Program COR any action as a result of ected the data.
B.	Overall Assessme	ent of Data	
List sar	mples qualified bas	sed on other issues:	
Sample	e ID ========	Comments	Actions
			e_dataResults_are_valid_and_can_be_used vn_below
Note:			
Action:			

All criteria were met __X___

- 1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
- Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data. Inform the Contract Laboratory COR the action, any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).

- 3. Sometimes, due to dilutions, re-analysis or SIM/Scan runs are being performed, there will be multiple results for a single analyte from a single sample. The following criteria and professional judgment are used to determine which result should be reported:
 - The analysis with the lower CRQL
 - The analysis with the better QC results
 - The analysis with the higher results